



ZERO CARBON HUB

**Carbon Compliance Standard
Technical Working Group**

**SUMMARY OF OUTPUTS:
Notes on modelling sensitivities**





Sensitivities modelled

Variations in Technology

Individual

- Gas boiler + SHW (+PV)
- ASHP + SHW (+ PV)
- GSHP (+PV)
- GSHP + SHW (+ PV)
- GSHP + biomass back boiler (+ PV)
- Biomass boiler (+ PV)

Communal

- Gas boiler + SHW (+PV) [Apartment block]
- Biomass CHP + gas boiler (+ PV)
- Gas CHP + biomass boiler (+ PV)
- Gas CHP + gas boiler (+PV), CHP fraction 0.7 or less
- Gas CHP + gas boiler (+PV), no tank in dwelling

Variations in Fabric Specification

- Regional FEES
- Thermal mass parameter

Other Variations

- Additional house types (inc modest solar design dwelling)
- CO_{2(e)} emission factor changes
- Roof orientation for PV output




Modelling sensitivity: Technologies



e.g. Carbon Target = 10kgCO_{2(e)}/m²/yr Detached House

Detached House, East Pennines
Carbon Target = 10kgCO_{2(e)}/m²/yr

Core scenarios



NOTES

- Technical WG concluded that core technology scenarios are representative of the broad spectrum of sensitivities modelled
- Similar picture across all dwelling types




Modelling sensitivity: Dwelling types



Additional Dwelling Types

e.g. Carbon Target = 10kgCO_{2(e)}/m²/yr
East Pennines, FEES




Core dwelling types marked in yellow

- ### NOTES
- Technical WG concluded that core dwelling types are representative of the range of standard dwelling types that might be built
 - The roof area available for solar technologies is similar for similar types of dwelling, and 2.5/ 3-storey dwellings do not appear to be disadvantaged
 - The detached bungalow can be seen as a proxy for a FOG, with carbon performance similar to the core detached house
 - See also Spec C results over the page



Additional Dwelling Types

e.g. Carbon Target = 10kgCO_{2(e)}/m²/yr
East Pennines, Spec C




Core dwelling types marked in yellow

- ### NOTES
- Technical WG concluded that core dwelling types are representative of the range of standard dwelling types that might be built
 - The roof area available for solar technologies is similar for similar types of dwelling, and 2.5/ 3-storey dwellings do not appear to be disadvantaged
 - The detached bungalow can be seen as a proxy for a FOG, with carbon performance similar to the core detached house
 - See also FEES results on the previous page




Modest passive solar design dwelling

**FEES, Gas boiler, No PV, East Pennines
Detached Dwellings**



**Spec C, Gas boiler, No PV, East Pennines
Detached Dwellings**



NOTES


- Technical WG concluded that modest passive solar design could give marginal upside to carbon performance, but it was not so significant as to be necessary to take this into account when determining the Carbon Compliance level.



Modelling sensitivity: Thermal Mass Parameter



Sensitivities: Change in carbon emissions due to change in Thermal Mass Parameter (TMP)



NOTES

- Technical WG concluded that the variance in carbon performance when assuming different thermal mass should not be material to determining the Carbon Compliance level (i.e. the assumption of Medium TMP in the dwelling models is OK)
- It was felt that in reality TMP was more likely to influence the occurrence, frequency and intensity of overheating and therefore affect cooling demand more so than heating




Modelling sensitivity: PV output




PV output, East Pennines Overshading

None/ very little (<20%)




Modest (20-60%)




NOTES

- Effect of orientation on PV performance is small compared to the effect of overshadowing
- Panel inclination can also have a relatively large effect compared to change in orientation


Significant (60-80%)



Heavy (>80%)




Inclination





Sensitivities: Change in carbon emissions due to change in PV Inclination, Overshading, Orientation



FOR 1kWp PV (End terrace, FEES, EP)
FROM: South, 30deg, Very little overshading

- TO: South, Horizontal, Very little overshading
- TO: South, 45deg, Very little overshading
- TO: South, 60deg, Very little overshading
- TO: South, Vertical, Very little overshading
- TO: South, 30deg, Modest overshading
- TO: South, 30deg, Significant overshading
- TO: South, 30deg, Heavy overshading
- TO: South East, 30deg, Very little overshading
- TO: East, 30deg, Very little overshading
- TO: North East, 30deg, Very little overshading
- TO: North, 30deg, Very little overshading
- TO: North West, 30deg, Very little overshading
- TO: West, 30deg, Very little overshading
- TO: South West, 30deg, Very little overshading

NOTES

- Technical WG concluded that the standard assumptions of PV performance in the modelled dwellings should be altered to:
 - SE/SW orientation
 - 45deg inclination
 - None/ v. little overshading
- This it to take into account the general situation that available roof areas may not be perfectly orientated, or may not be at the most advantageous pitch, or may experience a greater amount of overshading